

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,605	08/19/2003	Cindy Dawson Simmons	3646-4	2840	
23117	7590 12/01/2006		EXAMINER		
	VANDERHYE, PC	HANSEN, JAMES ORVILLE			
	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH N, VA 22203	FLOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3637		
		· .	DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/642,605	SIMMONS, CINDY DAWSON		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James O. Hansen	3637		

	James O. Hansen	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notic wing replies: (1) an amendmer otice of Appeal (with appeal fee	ce of Appeal. To avoid aba it, affidavit, or other evider e) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set later than SIX MONTHS from the r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEI	nailing date of the final rejecti	ion.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CF ktension and the corresponding an shortened statutory period for repl or than three months after the maili	nount of the fee. The appropr y originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the proposed amendment of the prop	onsideration and/or search (see ow);	e NOTE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	corresponding number of final	ly rejected claims.				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a): See Continuation Sheet.					
non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 7-15 and 17-19. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3 and 6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an example of the second and an example of th	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the a	ffidavit or other evidence i	s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a	appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration see: See Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the	application in condition for	r allowance			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	-1 Adl				
	2	James O. Hansen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3637				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 102(b) rejection as previously anticipated by Smith is now moot in view of amended claim 1.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The position is maintained that claims 1, 3 & 6 are anticipated by Lit et al., as stipulated in the Final office action. Furthermore, the examiner notes that Lit in Fig. 2 and in the description of Fig. 2, depicts/describes "the basic components of the dispenser constructed in accordance with the present invention and does not show certain of the components which greatly facilitate use of the dispenser when certain types of wires are to be dispensed". Lit further recites that "additional components are shown in the fragmentary sectional view of Fig. 3. The internal face of the circumferential wall is normally lined with a layer of resilient material". The examiner notes that the basic dispenser may entail just the components as depicted in fig. 2 while a layer of material is normally used in conjunction with the basic dispenser as dictated by the wire being dispensed. As such, the examiner contends that the basic "stripped down" dispenser as disclosed in fig. 2 satisfies the claimed limitations,